

Charting Outcomes™: Characteristics of Applicants Who Matched to Their Preferred Specialty

U.S. IMGs

Main Residency Match®
2026 Appointment Year

July 2026

Requests for permission to use these data, as well as questions about the content of this publication or any National Resident Matching Program data may be directed to NRMP at datarequest@nrmp.org.

No part of the data provided by NRMP may be used as an input to or otherwise in connection with any machine learning or artificial intelligence models, algorithms, or other tools without the express written consent of the NRMP.

Questions about the NRMP should be directed to Donna L. Lamb, D.H.Sc., M.B.A., B.S.N., President and CEO at admin@nrmp.org.

Recommended Citation:

National Resident Matching Program. 2026. Charting Outcomes™: Characteristics of Applicants Who Matched to Their Preferred Specialty, U.S. IMGs, (Main Residency Match, 2026 Appointment Year).

Copyright © 2026 National Resident Matching Program,
455 Massachusetts Avenue NW, Suite 310, Washington, DC 20001 USA.
All rights reserved. Permission to use, copy and/or distribute any documentation and/or related images from this publication shall be expressly obtained from the NRMP.

Table of Contents

About the NRMP	iii
NRMP Board of Directors	iv
Introduction	v
Definitions	vi
Tables and Charts for All Specialties	1
Chart 1. Active Applicants in the Main Residency Match by Applicant Type	2
Table 1. Number of Applicants and Positions in the Main Residency Match	3
Chart 2. Ratio of U.S. IMGs Ranking Specialty First / Available Positions by Preferred Specialty	5
Chart 3. Match Rates of U.S. IMGs Percent Matched by Preferred Specialty	6
Table 2. Summary Statistics on U.S. IMGs	7
Chart 4. Median Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs	8
Table 3. Summary Statistics for Contiguous Ranks Among U.S. IMGs	9
Chart 5. Median Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs	12
Table 4. Summary Statistics for Ranked Specialties Among U.S. IMGs	13
Chart 6. Median USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs	16
Table 5. Summary Statistics for USMLE Step 2 CK Scores Among U.S. IMGs	17
Chart 7. Median Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs	20
Table 6. Summary Statistics for Research Experiences Among U.S. IMGs	21
Chart 8. Median Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs	24
Table 7. Summary Statistics for Abstracts Among U.S. IMGs	25
Chart 9. Median Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs	28
Table 8. Summary Statistics for Presentations Among U.S. IMGs	29
Chart 10. Median Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs	32
Table 9. Summary Statistics for Publications Among U.S. IMGs	33
Chart 11. Median Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs	36
Table 10. Summary Statistics for Work Experiences Among U.S. IMGs	37
Chart 12. Median Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs	40
Table 11. Summary Statistics for Volunteer Experiences Among U.S. IMGs	41
Chart 13. Percentage of U.S. IMGs Who Have a Ph.D.	44
Chart 14. Percentage of U.S. IMGs Who Have a Graduate Degree	45
Tables and Charts for Individual Specialties	46
Anesthesiology	46
Child Neurology	55
Dermatology	64
Emergency Medicine	73
Family Medicine	82
Internal Medicine	91
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics	100
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)	109
Neurological Surgery	118
Neurology	127
Obstetrics and Gynecology	136
Orthopaedic Surgery	145
Otolaryngology	154
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical	163

Table of Contents

Pediatrics	172
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation	181
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)	190
Psychiatry	191
Public Health and Preventive Medicine	200
Radiation Oncology	201
Radiology-Diagnostic	202
Surgery-General	211
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)	220
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)	221

About the NRMP

The National Resident Matching Program (NRMP®) is a private, not-for-profit corporation established in 1953 to optimize the rank-ordered choices of applicants and program directors for clinical training in the United States. The NRMP is not an application processing service nor an interview service; rather, it provides an impartial venue for matching applicants' and programs' preferences for each other using an internationally recognized mathematical algorithm.

Mission

The National Resident Matching Program (The Match®), known for its integrity and transparency, is the foremost provider of reliable and trusted global matching services. The Match facilitates the efficient placement of physician learners to U.S.-based residency and fellowship training programs and leverages its premier data and analyses to enhance the healthcare environment while fostering innovation and advancing professionalism across the transition to residency and the physician workforce.

Vision

To empower a strong global physician workforce through pioneering and innovative matching solutions, novel data-driven insights, and national leadership on issues impacting medical education and ultimately, population health.

Values

Our vision is achieved through our core values and principles:

- Ethics:
 - We act with honesty, trustworthiness, and accountability
- Equity:
 - We ensure access and opportunity for all
- Efficacy:
 - We leverage our experience and expertise to advance transformative and efficient match processes
- Engagement:
 - We cultivate respectful, collaborative, and productive relationships through active listening and courageous and candid communications

For more information about the NRMP, please visit: www.nrmp.org.

NRMP Board of Directors

The NRMP is governed by a 20-member Board of Directors, including:

- Chair, Chair Designate, Secretary/Treasurer, and Immediate Past Chair
- One Designated Institutional Official (DIO)
- One osteopathic physician (DO)
- Two graduate medical education program directors
- Three medical students, one of whom is enrolled in a U.S. DO medical school
- Three resident physicians, one who is a U.S. DO graduate and one who is an international medical graduate (IMG)
- One public or non-physician member
- President and CEO (non-voting)

Officers

- **Board Chair:** Natasha N. Bray, D.O., M.S.Ed, Campus Dean at Oklahoma State University College of Osteopathic Medicine - Cherokee Nation Campus; Associate Dean Accreditation, Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences; Associate Program Director for the rural-based Tahlequah Medical Group Internal Medicine Residency Program; Clinical Associate Professor of Rural Medicine
- **Chair Designate:** Michael G. Kavan, Ph.D., Associate Dean, Professor of Family and Community Medicine, and Professor of Psychiatry; Creighton University School of Medicine
- **Secretary/Treasurer:** Jennifer LaFemina, M.D., F.A.C.S., General Surgery Program Director and Associate Professor of Surgery; University of Massachusetts Chan Medical School
- **President and Chief Executive Officer:** Donna L. Lamb, D.H.Sc., M.B.A., BSN., National Resident Matching Program

Board Members, 2026-27

- Cary B. Aarons, M.D., M.S.Ed, F.A.C.S., FASCRS, Program Director, General Surgery Residency and Vice Chair of Education, Department of Surgery Columbia University
- Alizeh Abbas, M.B.B.S., General Surgery Resident, University of Alabama, Birmingham (UAB)
- Humza Ahmed, D.O. Candidate, Arizona College of Osteopathic Medicine
- Glenn T. Ault, M.D., M.S.Ed, Senior Associate Dean, Graduate Medical Education and DIO, University of Southern California, Keck School of Medicine
- Omari Baines-Waiz, D.O., M.B.A., Psychiatry Resident, Atrium Health
- Peter Carek, M.D., M.S., Chair, Department of Family Medicine, Prisma Health
- Deborah Clements, M.D., Chair, Family and Community Medicine, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine
- Jason Collins, M.D. Candidate, Northeast Ohio Medical University
- Emily M. Hawes, PharmD., BCPS, CPP, Professor, University of North Carolina School of Medicine, Department of Family Medicine
- Nicolas Leighton, M.D., General Surgery Resident, University of Southern California
- Mytien Nguyen, M.D./Ph.D. Candidate, Yale School of Medicine
- Maria E. Padilla, M.D., F.A.A.P., MSc, MEdL, Executive Associate Dean of Academic and Student Affairs, NOVA Southeastern University Dr. Kiran C. Patel College of Allopathic Medicine
- Robert L. Phillips Jr., M.D., MSPH, Executive Director, The Center for Professionalism & Value in Health Care
- Steven D. Pletcher, M.D., Program Director, Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery Residency and Vice Chair for Education, University of California, San Francisco
- Marcy L. Verduin, M.D., Senior Associate Dean for Medical Education and Professor of Psychiatry, University of Central Florida College of Medicine
- Colin P. West, M.D., Ph.D., Medical Director for Employee Well-Being, Mayo Clinic

Introduction

Charting Outcomes™: Characteristics of Applicants Who Matched to Their Preferred Specialty examines how applicant attributes affect Match outcomes in the Main Residency Match®. Characteristics examined include the number of contiguous ranks in applicants' preferred specialty (the specialty ranked first on an applicant's rank order list), medical licensure examination results, and research, work, and volunteer experiences. In recent years, separate reports were published for U.S. MD seniors, U.D. DO seniors, and U.S. citizen/non-U.S. citizen IMGs. This year marks the first time that separate reports are being released for U.S. and non-U.S. citizen IMGs in addition to separate reports for U.S. MD seniors and DO seniors. Historically a biennial report, *Charting Outcomes: Characteristics of Applicants Who Matched to Their Preferred Specialty* will now be released annually.

This report showcases U.S. IMGs by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialties that offered 50 or more positions in the 2026 Main Residency Match are included. Transitional Year programs are excluded because they are not viewed as a preferred specialty choice. In addition, applicants who ranked a preliminary program first are excluded from any reporting by preferred specialty. After incorporating exclusion criteria, the final sample for analyses included 3,033 U.S. IMGs.

In 2026, similar to past years, across most specialties U.S. IMGs who match to their preferred specialty are more likely to:

- Rank more programs within their preferred specialty
- Have higher USMLE Step 2 CK scores

These trends, while informative, do not convey the full story. Other applicant factors such as course evaluations, reference letters, and the Medical School Performance Evaluation (MSPE) can also contribute to Match outcomes.

We hope you find this report informative and supportive of specialty considerations and advising.

Definitions

Abstracts

Abstracts represent only the number of abstracts that were accepted for presentation at a professional conference/meeting and were subsequently published in a peer-reviewed journal.

Active Applicant

A medical school senior or graduate who submitted a certified rank order list (ROL) of programs.

Advanced ("A") Position/Program

Specialty position/program that begins the year after the Match and after one or more years of required preliminary training.

AOA

Alpha Omega Alpha national medical honor society.

Categorical ("C") Position/Program

Post-graduate year one (PGY-1) position/program that provides the full training required for board certification in a specialty.

Categorical Primary Care ("M") Position/Program

PGY-1 position/program in Internal Medicine or Pediatrics that provides a training emphasis on primary care.

Certified Position

A position certified by an institution's program to participate in Main Residency Match; also referred to as Offered Position.

COMLEX-USA®

Comprehensive Osteopathic Medical Licensing Examinations®.

Contiguous ranks

Number of programs ranked in the first-choice specialty before a program in another specialty appeared on the applicant's rank order list.

Graduate of Canadian Medical School (Canadian Graduate)

A graduate of a Canadian school of medicine accredited by the Committee on Accreditation of Canadian Medical Schools (CACMS) prior to July 1, 2025. Applicants who graduated from Canadian medical schools after July 1, 2025 are considered International Medical Graduates (IMGs).

Match Rate

The percentage of active seniors who matched to PGY-1 positions when the matching algorithm was processed; also referred to as a PGY-1 Match Rate.

Matched to Preferred

An applicant who matched to a position in their preferred specialty when the matching algorithm was processed; also referred as Matched.

Non-U.S. Citizen Student/Graduate of International Medical School (Non-U.S. IMG)

A non-U.S. citizen who is enrolled in, or is a graduate of, a medical school outside the United States and Canada that is not accredited by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME), the American Osteopathic Association Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation (AOA COCA), or the Committee on Accreditation of Canadian Medical Schools (CACMS).

Not Matched

An applicant who did not match to a position in their preferred specialty when the matching algorithm was processed.

PGY-1 & PGY-2

Post-graduate year one and post-graduate year two.

Definitions

Physician ("R") Position/Program

Specialty position/program that begins in the year of the Match and is reserved for physicians with prior graduate medical education. This type of position/program is not available to senior medical students.

Preferred Specialty

The specialty of the first-ranked program on a senior's rank order list, excluding preliminary programs.

Preliminary ("P") Position/Program

One-year transitional or specialty position/program.

Presentations

Presentations represent only the number of presentations that were poster or oral presentations at professional conferences/meetings or school research days/forums.

Publications

Publications represent only the number of publications that were peer-reviewed works such as journal articles, book chapters, and online publications as well as scientific monographs and case reports.

Research Experiences

The number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the applicant reported serving as a member of a research team.

Senior Student of U.S. DO Medical School (U.S. DO Senior)

A student who graduated from American Osteopathic Association (AOA) Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation (COCA) accredited medical school between June 30 of the calendar year the Match opens and 9 pm eastern time on the Rank Order List Certification Deadline in the year of the Match; also referred to as a DO senior.

Senior Student of U.S. MD Medical School (U.S. MD Senior)

A student who graduated from Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME) accredited medical school between June 30 of the calendar year the Match opens and 9 pm eastern time on the Rank Order List Certification Deadline in the year of the Match; also referred to as an MD senior.

SSP

Sigma Sigma Phi national osteopathic medical honor society.

U.S. Citizen Student/Graduate of International Medical School (U.S. IMG)

A U.S. citizen who enrolled in, or is a graduate of, a medical school outside the United States and Canada that is not accredited by the Liaison Committee on Medical Education (LCME), the American Osteopathic Association Commission on Osteopathic College Accreditation (AOA COCA), or the Committee on Accreditation of Canadian Medical Schools (CACMS).

USMLE®

United States Medical Licensing Examination®.

Volunteer Experiences

The number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the applicant freely gave time and labor to a project, task, job, or service without payment.

Work Experiences

The number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the applicant was paid for knowledge or skills they applied to a particular field or job.

2026 Tables and Charts for All Specialties

Chart

1

Active Applicants in the Main Residency Match by Applicant Type




Chart 1 shows the number of active applicants (i.e., applicants who have certified a rank order list) by applicant type in the 2026 Main Residency Match. A total of 48,050 active applicants participated in the 2026 Main Residency Match. U.S. MD seniors constituted the largest group, comprising 43.6 percent of applicants in the Match. The second largest group of active applicants were non-U.S. IMGs at 24.9 percent, followed by U.S. DO seniors at 17.7 percent.

Table 1 **Number of Applicants and Positions in the Main Residency Match
by Preferred Specialty**

Table 1 provides a summary of the number of positions offered in selected specialties, the number of applicants who preferred each specialty (i.e., specialty of the first-ranked program on an applicant's rank order list), and the number of U.S. IMGs who preferred each specialty.

Only those specialties offering 50 or more positions are included. For those specialties offering both PGY-1 and PGY-2 positions (including Physician (R) positions), all position types have been combined.

For example, a total of 2,988 applicants preferred Anesthesiology, among whom 169 are U.S. IMGs. Of the 169 U.S. IMGs who preferred Anesthesiology, 77 matched and 92 did not match to their preferred specialty. For each of the 2,290 positions offered, there were 1.30 applicants who preferred the specialty, including 0.07 U.S. IMGs per position.

Data for U.S. IMGs who preferred Dermatology/Internal Medicine, Diagnostic Radiology/Nuclear Medicine, Emergency Medicine/Internal Medicine, Internal Medicine/Preventive Medicine, Internal Medicine/Psychiatry, Neurodevelopmental Disabilities, Occupational & Environmental Med, Pediatrics/Medical Genetics and Psychiatry/Neurology are excluded from this report as these specialties offered fewer than 50 positions.

Preferred Specialty	Total Positions Offered	Total Number of All Applicants	Number of All Applicants per Position	U.S. IMGs Matched	U.S. IMGs Not Matched	Total U.S. IMGs	U.S. IMGs per Position
Anesthesiology	2,290	2,988	1.30	77	92	169	0.07
Child Neurology	243	249	1.02	13	3	16	0.07
Dermatology	602	1,099	1.83	10	13	23	0.04
Emergency Medicine	3,198	3,224	1.01	302	68	370	0.12
Family Medicine	5,491	4,685	0.85	454	250	704	0.13
Internal Medicine	11,632	14,734	1.27	997	476	1,473	0.13
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics	404	468	1.16	7	6	13	0.03
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)	245	276	1.13	4	7	11	0.04
Neurological Surgery	280	480	1.71	2	9	11	0.04
Neurology	1,260	1,689	1.34	60	44	104	0.08
Obstetrics and Gynecology	1,638	2,140	1.31	50	77	127	0.08
Orthopaedic Surgery	963	1,598	1.66	5	27	32	0.03
Otolaryngology	403	580	1.44	5	10	15	0.04
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical	636	957	1.50	50	48	98	0.15
Pediatrics	3,185	3,023	0.95	189	43	232	0.07

Preferred Specialty	Total Positions Offered	Total Number of All Applicants	Number of All Applicants per Position	U.S. IMGs Matched	U.S. IMGs Not Matched	Total U.S. IMGs	U.S. IMGs per Position
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation	601	828	1.38	13	22	35	0.06
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)	230	406	1.77	1	3	4	0.02
Psychiatry	2,516	2,873	1.14	175	107	282	0.11
Public Health and Preventive Medicine	63	61	0.97	5	6	11	0.17
Radiation Oncology	187	222	1.19	2	6	8	0.04
Radiology-Diagnostic	1,268	1,419	1.12	37	31	68	0.05
Surgery-General	1,807	2,760	1.53	81	192	273	0.15
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)	56	89	1.59	1	1	2	0.04
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)	110	165	1.50	3	6	9	0.08
§ Other Specialties	281	330	1.17	8	11	19	0.07
Total	39,589	47,343		2,551	1,558	4,109	

Note:

§ Other specialties represent preferred specialties that offered fewer than 50 positions.

Applicants who preferred a preliminary program or a one-year transitional program are excluded from the table.


Chart
2Ratio of U.S. IMGs Ranking Specialty First / Available Positions
by Preferred Specialty

Chart 2 shows the ratios of U.S. IMGs and all applicants who preferred each specialty to available positions in that specialty.

Among all specialties in the chart, Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Surgery-General and Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical had the highest ratio (0.2) of U.S. IMGs to positions offered.

Dermatology, Internal Medicine/Pediatrics, Interventional Radiology (Integrated), Neurological Surgery, Orthopaedic Surgery, Otolaryngology, Plastic Surgery (Integrated), Radiation Oncology and Thoracic Surgery (Integrated) have the lowest displayed ratio (0.0) of U.S. IMGs to positions offered. Because ratios are rounded to one decimal place, the 0.0 value reflects very low interest among U.S. IMGs relative to available positions, not necessarily an absence of U.S. IMGs preferring the specialty.

Chart

3

Match Rates of U.S. IMGs


Percent Matched by Preferred Specialty

Chart 3 shows the percentages of U.S. IMGs who matched to their preferred specialty. Overall, 47.2 percent of U.S. IMGs matched to their preferred specialty, ranging from a high of 81.6 percent (Emergency Medicine) to a low of 15.6 percent (Orthopaedic Surgery).

Table 2

Summary Statistics on U.S. IMGs

All Specialties Combined

Table 2 provides summary statistics for all specialties, including those offering fewer than 50 positions, by Match outcome on the 11 measures presented in this report.

Data on each of these measures are displayed graphically by preferred specialty on the following pages.

Only U.S. IMGs who gave consent to provide their academic information for use in research are included in this table and the rest of the report.

Measure	Matched (n=1,961)	Not Matched (n=1,087)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	7.0	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	236	230
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have Ph.D. degree ¶	0.7	2.6
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	27.0	35.1

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart

4

Median Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



In general, applicants are more likely to Match if they rank more programs in their desired specialty. To quantify this aspect of applicant behavior, the number of programs ranked in the first-choice specialty were tallied before a program in another specialty appeared on the applicant's rank order list (i.e., number of contiguous ranks).

Chart 4 displays the median number of contiguous ranks by preferred specialty for U.S. IMGs who matched or did not match to their preferred specialty. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart. Pediatrics had the longest median contiguous rank list (14) for matched U.S. IMGs while Internal Medicine/Pediatrics had the shortest (2). For most specialties, U.S. IMGs who matched to their preferred specialty had median contiguous rank lists that were longer than those of U.S. IMGs who did not match. Based on these data, applicants with longer rank order lists appear to have a higher likelihood of matching than those with shorter ones.

Table
3

Summary Statistics for Contiguous Ranks Among U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 3 presents summary statistics for number of contiguous ranks by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of contiguous ranks was 6.0 with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 19. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of contiguous ranks was 2.0 with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 12.


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	1	4.0	6.0	9.0	19	5.0	6.7	4.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	12	2.0	2.5	2.0
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	1	1.0	5.0	16.0	20	15.0	7.8	7.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	1	2.0	3.0	3.0	5	1.0	2.8	1.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	20	2.0	4.4	6.9
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	1	6.0	11.0	15.0	35	9.0	11.0	6.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	20	2.0	2.4	2.9
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	1	2.0	6.0	15.0	43	13.0	8.8	8.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	20	1.0	2.3	3.0
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	1	4.0	8.0	14.0	45	10.0	9.2	6.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	20	1.0	1.9	2.2
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	2.5	4.0	6	2.0	3.0	1.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1	0.0	1.0	0.0

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	3.5	4.0	5	3.0	3.0	1.7
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	1	3.0	6.0	9.0	18	6.0	6.9	4.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	12	2.0	2.3	2.2
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	1	3.0	5.0	7.0	13	4.0	5.4	3.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	9	2.0	2.8	2.3
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	1	1.0	1.5	3.0	20	2.0	3.4	4.9
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	2.5	4.0	6	3.0	2.8	1.9
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	1	3.0	6.0	9.0	23	6.0	7.2	5.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	11	2.0	2.9	2.4
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	1	6.0	14.0	19.0	33	13.0	12.7	7.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	9	1.0	1.8	1.7
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	1	2.0	4.5	7.0	9	5.0	4.7	2.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	1	1.0	1.0	3.0	5	2.0	2.0	1.4
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	1	3.0	6.0	10.0	25	7.0	6.9	4.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	20	2.0	2.7	2.8
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	1	3.0	6.0	9.0	14	6.0	6.2	4.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	7	1.0	2.4	1.9
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	1	2.0	3.0	6.0	20	4.0	4.6	4.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	13	2.0	2.5	2.1
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
5

Median Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Some applicants are interested in a single specialty while others consider two or more.

Chart 5 displays the median number of distinct specialties ranked by preferred specialty and Match outcome. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart. For most specialties, the variance in the median number of distinct specialties ranked for matched vs not matched U.S. IMGs is small.

Table
4
Summary Statistics for Ranked Specialties Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 4 presents summary statistics for number of distinct specialties ranked by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of distinct specialties ranked was 2.0 with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 4. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of distinct specialties ranked was 2.0 with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 4.

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	4	1.0	1.9	0.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	4	2.0	2.2	0.9
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	1	2.0	2.0	2.0	3	0.0	2.0	0.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	3	0.0	1.4	0.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.7	0.8
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	4	0.0	1.2	0.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	4	1.0	1.6	0.9
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	5	1.0	1.3	0.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.3	0.5
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	3	0.0	1.3	0.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	5	1.0	1.5	0.7
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	4	1.0	2.3	1.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	2.5	3.0	6	1.0	2.8	1.7


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	4	2.0	2.2	1.2
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.6	0.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	4	1.0	1.8	0.9
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	2	1.0	1.4	0.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.5	0.6
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.4	0.6
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	2	1.0	1.3	0.5
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2	0.0	1.1	0.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	2	0.0	1.2	0.4
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	4	0.0	1.1	0.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.4	0.6
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	1	2.0	2.5	3.0	4	1.0	2.4	1.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	1	1.0	2.0	2.0	3	1.0	1.6	0.6
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	5	1.0	1.3	0.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	5	1.0	1.5	0.8
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	5	2.0	2.2	1.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	1	1.0	2.0	3.0	4	2.0	2.1	1.1
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	4	0.0	1.2	0.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	1	1.0	1.0	2.0	4	1.0	1.3	0.6
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart

6

Median USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



United States Medical Licensing Examination® (USMLE®) Step 2 Clinical Knowledge (CK) scores are a measure of an applicant's ability to apply the medical knowledge, skills, and understanding of clinical science essential for providing patient care.

Chart 6 shows median USMLE Step 2 CK scores for U.S. IMGs by preferred specialty and Match status. All data is primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
5
**Summary Statistics for USMLE Step 2 CK Scores Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status**

Table 5 presents summary statistics for USMLE Step 2 CK score by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median USMLE Step 2 CK score was 250 with a minimum of 222 and a maximum of 266. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median USMLE Step 2 CK score was 239 with a minimum of 217 and a maximum of 271.


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	222	242	250	256	266	14	248	10
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	217	231	239	245	271	14	237	11
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	221	228	232	242	247	14	233	9
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	219	233	246	257	269	24	244	20
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	226	240	242	242	252	2	240	9
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	214	229	234	242	273	13	235	12
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	212	218	222	229	245	11	224	7
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	211	222	229	237	267	15	229	11
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	209	219	225	231	254	12	225	9
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	209	228	237	248	278	20	238	14
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	210	221	227	236	262	15	229	11
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	222	223	237	243	252	20	235	12
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	231	236	242	259	259	23	244	12

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	218	219	228	251	255	32	233	16
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	214	230	237	244	279	14	238	13
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	216	219	225	243	266	24	232	16
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	220	235	242	247	267	12	241	11
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	216	225	233	241	269	16	234	11
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	216	226	243	254	279	28	243	18
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	232	245	250	257	259	12	248	10
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	213	229	238	248	267	19	239	13
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	213	220	231	237	259	17	230	12
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	212	226	236	245	268	19	236	13
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	212	220	224	239	251	19	227	11
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	226	230	236	240	247	10	235	7
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	214	221	234	241	249	20	231	11
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	217	228	235	243	273	15	236	12
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	211	220	229	235	266	15	229	12
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	219	240	247	253	270	13	245	12
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	209	225	233	245	270	20	236	14
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	214	235	244	253	269	18	244	12
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	214	228	237	248	271	20	238	12
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
7

Median Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Research experiences represent the number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the senior reported serving as a member of a research team. This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. The experiences are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Chart 7 shows the median number of research experiences of U.S. IMGs by preferred specialty and Match outcome. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
6
**Summary Statistics for Research Experiences Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status**

Table 6 presents summary statistics for number of research experiences by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of research experiences was 4.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 86. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of research experiences was 2.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 10.


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	2.0	4.0	8.0	86	6.0	7.4	12.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	10	3.0	2.7	2.0
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	2	3.0	5.0	8.0	34	5.0	10.6	12.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	2	2.0	7.0	10.0	10	8.0	6.5	4.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	2	4.0	10.0	15.0	40	11.0	12.4	13.1
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	15	2.0	2.4	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	10	3.0	2.0	2.3
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	33	2.0	3.0	4.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	23	3.0	3.0	3.4
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	53	3.0	3.2	4.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	120	3.0	3.6	8.3
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	3.0	3.0	4	1.0	3.0	0.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	4.0	5.0	16	3.0	5.6	6.0

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	2	4.0	4.0	6.0	125	2.0	28.2	54.1
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	0	2.0	3.0	6.0	400	4.0	15.2	67.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	0	2.0	2.5	4.0	27	2.0	4.6	6.4
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	0	2.0	3.0	4.0	50	2.0	4.7	8.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	10	3.0	2.8	2.4
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	2	3.0	5.0	12.0	140	9.0	17.4	36.3
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	3.0	4.0	6	2.0	3.3	1.6
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	2.0	4.0	7.0	100	5.0	9.5	18.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	0	1.0	3.0	5.0	40	4.0	5.5	8.2
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	20	3.0	2.6	2.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	1	2.0	2.0	6.0	25	4.0	4.7	5.7
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	1	2.0	2.0	4.0	8	2.0	3.3	2.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	0	0.0	3.0	3.0	10	3.0	2.8	2.7
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	20	3.0	3.0	3.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	10	2.0	2.9	3.0
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	0	3.0	4.0	6.0	7	3.0	4.0	2.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	0	1.0	3.0	4.0	20	3.0	3.6	5.0
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	60	3.0	5.6	9.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	0	2.0	4.0	6.0	61	4.0	6.0	7.9
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
8

Median Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Abstracts represent only the number of abstracts that were accepted for presentation at a professional conference/meeting and were subsequently published in a peer-reviewed journal.

This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. Products are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Note that prior to 2026, abstracts, presentations, and publications were assessed using a single item and have now been separated into three distinct items.

Chart 8 shows the median number of abstracts by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
7

Summary Statistics for Abstracts Among U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 7 presents summary statistics for number of abstracts by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of abstracts was 1.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 38. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of abstracts was 1.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 50.

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	0.0	1.0	4.0	38	4.0	3.3	6.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	50	2.0	2.4	7.6
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	1	2.0	3.0	19.0	25	17.0	8.3	9.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	1	1.0	7.5	10.0	11	9.0	6.8	4.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	1	1.0	3.0	17.0	20	16.0	7.3	8.0
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	24	1.0	1.2	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	13	1.0	1.1	2.5
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	0.0	0.0	2.0	30	2.0	1.4	3.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	50	2.0	2.3	5.8
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	40	2.0	2.0	4.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	60	3.0	2.7	5.6
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	0	0.0	3.0	5.0	16	5.0	5.5	7.3
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	1.5	2.0	12	1.0	4.0	5.4


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	4	12.0	13.0	15.0	100	3.0	28.8	40.0
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	0	1.0	1.5	3.0	44	2.0	3.4	7.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	17	3.0	2.8	4.5
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	10	3.0	1.9	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	0.0	0.0	2.0	8	2.0	1.4	2.1
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	0	0.0	3.5	18.0	54	18.0	14.6	19.9
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	8.0	15.0	17	13.0	8.6	7.3
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	1.0	2.5	5.0	40	4.0	6.0	9.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	0	1.0	2.0	5.0	15	4.0	3.4	3.8
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	0.0	0.0	1.0	68	1.0	1.6	7.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	0	1.0	2.0	5.0	6	4.0	2.8	2.0
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	0	0.0	2.0	7.0	12	7.0	3.8	4.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	4	3.0	1.5	1.4
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	0.0	0.0	2.0	17	2.0	1.6	3.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	0.0	0.5	2.0	20	2.0	1.8	3.7
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	0	1.0	1.0	5.0	56	4.0	5.9	13.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	0	0.0	1.5	2.0	4	2.0	1.5	1.3
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	40	3.0	4.2	8.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	0	0.0	2.0	4.0	32	4.0	3.2	5.0
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart

9

Median Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Presentations represent only the number of presentations that were poster or oral presentations at professional conferences/meetings or school research days/forums.

This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. Products are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Note that prior to 2026, abstracts, presentations, and publications were assessed using a single item and have now been separated into three distinct items.

Chart 9 shows the median number of presentations by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
8
Summary Statistics for Presentations Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 8 presents summary statistics for number of presentations by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).


For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of presentations was 2.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 24. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of presentations was 2.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 58.

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	24	3.0	3.7	4.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	0	0.0	2.0	4.0	58	4.0	4.7	10.8
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	0	1.0	2.0	12.0	15	11.0	4.7	6.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	1	1.0	4.0	5.0	10	4.0	4.8	3.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	0	4.0	5.0	10.0	12	6.0	6.0	4.0
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	23	3.0	2.2	3.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	15	3.0	2.3	3.3
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	30	3.0	2.8	4.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	0.0	1.5	4.0	50	4.0	3.9	7.8
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	0.0	2.0	3.0	40	3.0	2.6	3.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	0.0	1.0	4.0	29	4.0	3.0	4.4
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	3.5	5.0	7	3.0	4.0	2.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	0	0.0	5.0	8.0	17	8.0	6.8	7.6

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	0	3.0	6.0	13.0	15	10.0	7.2	5.9
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	107	3.0	5.8	18.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	0	1.0	2.0	6.0	17	5.0	4.0	4.5
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	0	0.0	2.0	3.0	70	3.0	4.7	12.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	14	3.0	2.6	2.8
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	0	5.0	7.0	16.0	77	11.0	18.5	25.3
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	3	4.0	5.5	10.0	14	6.0	7.0	4.2
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	1.0	3.0	5.0	40	4.0	4.7	7.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	0	2.0	3.0	7.0	27	5.0	5.4	6.4
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	20	3.0	2.1	3.3
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	0	1.0	2.0	6.0	15	5.0	4.1	4.8
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	0	0.0	1.0	8.0	10	8.0	3.8	4.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	0	1.0	3.0	3.0	8	2.0	2.8	2.3
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	1.0	1.0	2.0	18	1.0	2.0	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	0.0	1.0	4.0	17	4.0	3.0	3.7
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	0	0.0	2.0	5.0	22	5.0	4.7	6.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	1	1.0	2.0	4.0	11	3.0	3.4	3.3
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	1.0	2.0	5.0	42	4.0	4.5	6.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	0	1.0	3.0	6.0	34	5.0	4.5	5.7
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
10Median Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Publications represent only the number of publications that were peer-reviewed works such as journal articles, book chapters, and online publications as well as scientific monographs and case reports.

This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. Products are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Note that prior to 2026, abstracts, presentations, and publications were assessed using a single item and have now been separated into three distinct items.

Chart 10 shows the median number of publications by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
9

Summary Statistics for Publications Among U.S. IMGs by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 9 presents summary statistics for number of publications by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of publications was 2.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 75. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of publications was 1.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 76.


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	75	3.0	4.8	11.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	76	2.0	3.1	10.2
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	0	1.0	2.5	4.0	25	3.0	7.0	9.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	11	11.0	14.0	14.0	20	3.0	14.8	3.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	3	6.0	25.0	43.0	52	37.0	25.4	18.0
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	0.0	1.0	1.0	40	1.0	1.5	3.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	0.0	0.5	1.0	9	1.0	1.1	2.0
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	35	3.0	2.4	4.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	100	2.0	3.5	11.2
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	66	3.0	2.9	6.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	0.0	1.0	4.0	45	4.0	3.2	5.5
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	0	0.0	3.0	5.0	18	5.0	6.0	8.3
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	0	0.0	6.5	10.0	17	10.0	7.5	7.6

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	4	10.0	16.0	21.0	75	11.0	23.7	25.8
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	0	0.0	2.0	4.0	363	4.0	13.3	62.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	0	0.0	1.5	4.0	42	4.0	4.2	9.2
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	0	0.0	1.5	2.0	30	2.0	2.9	6.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	8	2.0	1.5	1.9
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	0	4.0	7.0	32.0	65	28.0	18.4	20.8
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	3.0	7.0	9.0	20	6.0	7.8	6.7
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	1.0	2.0	6.0	60	5.0	7.0	12.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	0	1.0	3.0	12.0	21	11.0	7.0	6.9
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	31	2.0	2.0	4.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	1	1.0	3.0	4.0	5	3.0	2.8	1.3
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	0	0.0	0.5	2.0	3	2.0	1.0	1.3
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	5	3.0	1.6	1.8
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	16	3.0	2.1	2.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	0.0	1.0	3.0	10	3.0	2.2	2.7
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	0	0.0	2.0	5.0	15	5.0	3.8	5.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	0	0.0	1.0	2.0	13	2.0	2.2	3.6
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	0.0	2.0	4.0	29	4.0	4.2	6.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	0	1.0	2.0	4.0	48	3.0	3.8	7.0
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
11

Median Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Work experiences represent the number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the applicant was paid for knowledge or skills they applied to a particular field or job.

This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. Experiences are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Chart 11 shows the median number of work experiences by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table
10
Summary Statistics for Work Experiences Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status

Table 10 presents summary statistics for number of work experiences by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of work experiences was 3.5 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 16. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of work experiences was 3.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 13.


Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	2.0	3.5	6.0	16	4.0	4.3	3.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	13	3.0	3.7	2.8
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	0	4.0	4.0	7.0	12	3.0	5.1	3.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	2	2.0	3.5	4.0	4	2.0	3.3	1.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	1	2.0	3.0	5.0	6	3.0	3.6	1.8
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	100	3.0	4.1	7.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	2.0	4.0	6.0	11	4.0	4.5	3.0
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	2.0	3.0	6.0	19	4.0	4.4	3.3
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	3.0	4.0	7.0	19	4.0	5.3	3.6
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	1.0	3.0	4.0	96	3.0	3.4	4.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	2.0	4.0	7.0	23	5.0	5.0	3.7
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	1	1.0	3.5	4.0	5	3.0	3.3	1.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	4.5	5.0	10	3.0	5.3	3.4

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	4.0	5.0	7	3.0	3.8	2.4
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	0	2.0	3.0	4.0	10	2.0	3.5	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	1	2.0	4.0	5.0	14	3.0	4.2	3.2
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	25	3.0	4.5	4.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	14	3.0	4.1	2.9
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	0	3.0	3.5	5.0	12	2.0	4.0	2.8
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	3.5	5.0	6	3.0	3.7	1.6
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	1.0	3.0	5.0	15	4.0	3.8	3.4
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	30	3.0	4.8	6.3
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	2.0	2.0	4.0	10	2.0	3.0	2.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	0	2.0	4.0	6.0	15	4.0	4.3	3.6
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	0	1.0	2.5	4.0	10	3.0	3.6	3.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	1	2.0	2.0	3.0	10	1.0	3.1	2.4
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	1.0	3.0	4.0	16	3.0	3.3	2.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	2.0	3.0	6.0	15	4.0	4.3	3.7
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	0	1.0	3.0	5.0	10	4.0	3.1	2.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	0	1.0	3.0	4.0	11	3.0	3.8	3.1
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	10	2.0	2.8	2.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	13	3.0	3.6	2.4
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
12

Median Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status



Volunteer experiences represent the number of experiences during medical school, graduate school, or undergraduate school where the applicant freely gave time and labor to a project, task, job, or service without payment.

This information is self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process. Experiences are not verified or evaluated and quality may vary greatly.

Chart 12 displays the median number of volunteer experiences by preferred specialty and Match status. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Table 11 **Summary Statistics for Volunteer Experiences Among U.S. IMGs
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status**

Table 11 presents summary statistics for number of volunteer experiences by preferred specialty and Match status for U.S. IMGs who consented to use of their data for research and reporting. Data for specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are denoted by an asterisk (*).

For each preferred specialty and Match status, number of consented applicants (N), minimum, first quartile (Q1), median, third quartile (Q3), interquartile range (IQR = Q3 – Q1), maximum, mean, and standard deviation are displayed.

Taking Anesthesiology as an example, among the 62 applicants who preferred and matched to Anesthesiology, the median number of volunteer experiences was 4.0 with a minimum of 0 and a maximum of 11. Among those who preferred Anesthesiology and did not match within Anesthesiology, the median number of volunteer experiences was 4.0 with a minimum of 1 and a maximum of 47.

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Anesthesiology									
<i>Matched</i>	62	0	2.0	4.0	6.0	11	4.0	4.2	2.6
<i>Not Matched</i>	68	1	2.0	4.0	6.0	47	4.0	6.0	7.5
Child Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	11	1	3.0	4.5	5.0	10	2.0	5.3	3.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Dermatology									
<i>Matched</i>	5	0	0.0	6.0	7.0	15	7.0	6.8	6.2
<i>Not Matched</i>	7	2	2.0	3.0	6.0	24	4.0	6.4	8.0
Emergency Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	243	0	2.0	4.0	6.0	100	4.0	5.6	8.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	50	0	2.0	3.0	6.0	32	4.0	4.5	5.6
Family Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	321	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	60	3.0	4.7	5.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	166	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	50	3.0	4.4	6.0
Internal Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	771	0	2.0	4.0	5.0	42	3.0	4.5	4.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	333	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	15	3.0	3.7	2.9
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	6	2	2.0	7.0	10.0	15	8.0	7.8	5.9
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	0	0.0	3.0	5.0	5	5.0	2.8	2.6

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Neurological Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	2.0	5.0	6	3.0	3.2	2.2
Neurology									
<i>Matched</i>	49	1	2.0	4.0	6.0	11	4.0	4.2	2.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	29	0	2.0	2.0	4.0	15	2.0	3.8	3.7
Obstetrics and Gynecology									
<i>Matched</i>	37	1	3.0	5.0	8.0	20	5.0	6.1	4.5
<i>Not Matched</i>	58	0	3.0	5.0	9.0	22	6.0	6.3	4.5
Orthopaedic Surgery									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	16	0	2.0	3.0	6.0	13	4.0	4.4	3.7
Otolaryngology									
<i>Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	6	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	8	2.0	3.5	2.4
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical									
<i>Matched</i>	40	0	1.0	2.0	3.0	20	2.0	3.3	4.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	32	1	2.0	3.0	6.0	10	4.0	4.0	3.0
Pediatrics									
<i>Matched</i>	146	0	2.0	4.0	7.0	50	5.0	6.7	8.8
<i>Not Matched</i>	26	1	2.0	3.0	6.0	10	4.0	4.5	3.3
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation									
<i>Matched</i>	10	2	4.0	5.0	7.0	15	3.0	6.0	4.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	15	1	1.0	4.0	5.0	10	4.0	3.6	2.5
Plastic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Psychiatry									
<i>Matched</i>	139	0	3.0	4.0	5.0	50	2.0	4.7	5.1
<i>Not Matched</i>	75	0	2.0	3.0	5.0	15	3.0	4.3	3.2
Public Health and Preventive Medicine									
<i>Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Radiation Oncology									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Preferred Specialty	Consented Applicants	Minimum	First Quartile (Q1)	Median	Third Quartile (Q3)	Maximum	IQR (Q3-Q1)	Mean	Std Dev
Radiology-Diagnostic									
<i>Matched</i>	25	1	2.0	3.0	4.0	7	2.0	3.1	1.7
<i>Not Matched</i>	21	1	2.0	3.0	9.0	30	7.0	6.3	7.5
Surgery-General									
<i>Matched</i>	70	0	2.0	4.0	5.0	20	3.0	4.7	4.0
<i>Not Matched</i>	147	1	2.0	4.0	6.0	38	4.0	4.9	5.1
Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	1	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Vascular Surgery (Integrated)									
<i>Matched</i>	2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<i>Not Matched</i>	3	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

Chart
13

Percentage of U.S. IMGs Who Have a Ph.D.
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status




Chart 13 shows by preferred specialty and Match status the percentage of U.S. IMGs who have a Ph.D. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart.

Data on Ph.D. status are self-reported.

Chart
14

Percentage of U.S. IMGs Who Have a Graduate Degree
by Preferred Specialty and Match Status




Chart 14 shows by preferred specialty and Match status the percentage of U.S. IMGs who have a graduate degree other than a Ph.D. Specialty and Match status combinations with fewer than five consented applicants are not presented in the chart. For most specialties, the percentage of not matched U.S. IMGs who have other graduate degrees was higher than that of their matched counterparts.

Data on other graduate degree status are self-reported.

AN **Anesthesiology**

Table
AN-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology

Measure	Matched (n=62)	Not Matched (n=68)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.0	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	250	239
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	4.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.5	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	4.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	1.8	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	21.4	30.4

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.





Chart
AN-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Anesthesiology

Chart
AN-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
AnesthesiologyGraph
AN-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Anesthesiology


Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
AN-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
AN-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Anesthesiology

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
AN-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
AnesthesiologyChart
AN-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology



Chart
AN-6
Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology

Chart
AN-7
Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology






Chart
AN-8
Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology

Chart
AN-9
Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Anesthesiology


Chart
AN-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Anesthesiology**Chart**
AN-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Anesthesiology

CN Child Neurology

Table
CN-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology

Measure	Matched (n=11)	Not Matched (n=2)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	5.0	*
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.0	*
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	232	*
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	5.0	*
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	3.0	*
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	*
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.5	*
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	4.0	*
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.5	*
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	11.1	*
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	33.3	*

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Not Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology




Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
CN-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Child Neurology




Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
CN-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology

Note:

- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.


Graph
CN-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Child Neurology

Note:

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
CN-4


Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-5


Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-10


Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
CN-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Child Neurology



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

DM Dermatology

Table
DM-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology

Measure	Matched (n=5)	Not Matched (n=7)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	3.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	246	242
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	7.0	10.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	7.5	3.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	4.0	5.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	14.0	25.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.5	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	6.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	25.0	28.6

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart
DM-1

Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Dermatology






Chart
DM-2

Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology




Graph
DM-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Dermatology




Note:
• Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
DM-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
DM-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Dermatology

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
DM-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
DermatologyChart
DM-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology

Chart
DM-6

Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology




Chart
DM-7

Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology








Chart
DM-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
DermatologyChart
DM-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Dermatology

Chart
DM-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Dermatology**Chart**
DM-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Dermatology

EM Emergency Medicine

Table
EM-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine

Measure	Matched (n=243)	Not Matched (n=50)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	11.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	234	222
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	1.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	0.0	0.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	1.0	1.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	0.5
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	1.4	2.7
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	27.7	26.3

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.





Chart
EM-1Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine

Chart
EM-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Emergency MedicineGraph
EM-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Emergency Medicine


Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
EM-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
EM-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Emergency Medicine

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
EM-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Emergency MedicineChart
EM-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine



Chart
EM-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Emergency MedicineChart
EM-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine





Chart
EM-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Emergency MedicineChart
EM-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Emergency Medicine

Chart
EM-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Emergency Medicine**Chart**
EM-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Emergency Medicine

FM Family Medicine

Table
FM-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine

Measure	Matched (n=321)	Not Matched (n=166)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	229	225
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	0.0	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	1.0	1.5
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.4	3.1
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	32.5	44.1

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.






Chart
FM-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Family Medicine

Chart
FM-2
Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine

Graph
FM-1
Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Family Medicine

Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
FM-3
USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine
**Note:**

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
FM-2
Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Family Medicine
**Note:**

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
FM-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Family MedicineChart
FM-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine



Chart
FM-6
Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine

Chart
FM-7
Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine






Chart
FM-8
Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine

Chart
FM-9
Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Family Medicine


Chart
FM-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Family Medicine**Chart**
FM-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Family Medicine

IM

Internal Medicine

Table
IM-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine

Measure	Matched (n=771)	Not Matched (n=333)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	8.0	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	237	227
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	1.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.5	3.3
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	22.4	33.0

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart
IM-1

Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine








Chart
IM-2
Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine

Graph
IM-1
Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Internal Medicine

Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
IM-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
IM-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Internal Medicine

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
IM-4
Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine

Chart
IM-5
Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine




Chart
IM-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal MedicineChart
IM-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine





Chart
IM-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Internal MedicineChart
IM-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine

Chart
IM-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Internal Medicine**Chart**
IM-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Internal Medicine

IP Internal Medicine/Pediatrics

Table
IP-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics

Measure	Matched (n=6)	Not Matched (n=4)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	2.5	*
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.0	*
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	237	*
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	3.0	*
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	3.0	*
6. Median number of presentations ¶	3.5	*
7. Median number of publications ¶	3.0	*
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.5	*
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	7.0	*
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	*
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	25.0	*

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Not Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics




Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
IP-1


Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
IP-3**USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs**
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics**Note:**


- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
IP-2**Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score**
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics**Note:**

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
IP-4


Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-5


Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-10


Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IP-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Internal Medicine/Pediatrics



Note:
Data for Not Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

IR Interventional Radiology (Integrated)

Table
IR-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)

Measure	Matched (n=2)	Not Matched (n=6)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	*	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	*	2.5
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	*	242
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	*	4.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	*	1.5
6. Median number of presentations ¶	*	5.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	*	6.5
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	*	4.5
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	*	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	*	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	*	50.0

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)




Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
IR-1


Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
IR-3**USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs**
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)**Note:**


- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
IR-2**Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score**
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)**Note:**

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
IR-4


Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-5


Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-10


Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
IR-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Interventional Radiology (Integrated)



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

NS

Neurological Surgery

Table
NS-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery

Measure	Matched (n=2)	Not Matched (n=6)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	*	3.5
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	*	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	*	228
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	*	4.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	*	13.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	*	6.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	*	16.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	*	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	*	2.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	*	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	*	16.7

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery




Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
NS-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Neurological Surgery




Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
NS-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery

Note:

- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.


Graph
NS-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Neurological Surgery

Note:

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
NS-4


Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-5


Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-10


Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
NS-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Neurological Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

N **Neurology**

Table
N-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Neurology

Measure	Matched (n=49)	Not Matched (n=29)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.0	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	237	225
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	3.0	2.5
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.5	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.0	1.5
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	2.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	7.7
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	37.5	38.5

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart
N-1

Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Neurology







Chart
N-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
NeurologyGraph
N-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Neurology


Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
N-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Neurology

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
N-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Neurology

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
N-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
NeurologyChart
N-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Neurology







Chart
N-6
Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Neurology

Chart
N-7
Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Neurology


Chart
N-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
NeurologyChart
N-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Neurology

**Chart
N-10****Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Neurology****Chart
N-11****Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Neurology**

OB **Obstetrics and Gynecology**

Table
OB-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and Gynecology

Measure	Matched (n=37)	Not Matched (n=58)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	5.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	242	233
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	3.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	0.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.5	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	5.0	5.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	28.6	43.1

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart
OB-1

Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and Gynecology








Chart
OB-2**Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs**
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Graph**
OB-1**Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of**
Contiguous Ranks
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Note:**

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
OB-3**USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs**
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Note:**

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
OB-2**Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score**
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Note:**

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
OB-4**Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs**
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Chart**
OB-5**Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs**
Obstetrics and Gynecology



Chart
OB-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and GynecologyChart
OB-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and Gynecology





Chart
OB-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and GynecologyChart
OB-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Obstetrics and Gynecology

Chart
OB-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Obstetrics and Gynecology**Chart**
OB-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Obstetrics and Gynecology

ORS **Orthopaedic Surgery**

Table
ORS-1Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery

Measure	Matched (n=4)	Not Matched (n=16)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	*	1.5
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	*	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	*	243
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	*	5.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	*	3.5
6. Median number of presentations ¶	*	7.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	*	7.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	*	3.5
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	*	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	*	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	*	35.7

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery




Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
ORS-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Orthopaedic Surgery




Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
ORS-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery

Note:

- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.


Graph
ORS-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Orthopaedic Surgery

Note:

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
ORS-4

Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs Orthopaedic Surgery




Note:

⦿ Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-5

Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs Orthopaedic Surgery




Note:

⦿ Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery




Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Orthopaedic Surgery



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Orthopaedic Surgery**Note:**

⦿ Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
ORS-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Orthopaedic Surgery**Note:**

⦿ Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

OTO Otolaryngology

Table
OTO-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology

Measure	Matched (n=4)	Not Matched (n=6)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	*	2.5
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	*	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	*	250
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	*	3.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	*	8.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	*	5.5
7. Median number of publications ¶	*	7.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	*	3.5
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	*	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	*	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	*	20.0

Note:


‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

* Data for Matched cohort are represented with * because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-1


Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-2


Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
OTO-1


Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Otolaryngology



Note:
Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
OTO-3

USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology




Note:

- USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.
- Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Graph
OTO-2

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Otolaryngology




Note:

- Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
OTO-4


Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-5


Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-6


Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-7


Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-8


Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-9


Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-10


Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Chart
OTO-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Otolaryngology



Note:
Data for Matched cohort are not shown in the chart because they did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

PTH Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

Table
PTH-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

Measure	Matched (n=40)	Not Matched (n=32)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	238	231
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	2.5	2.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	3.0	3.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.0	3.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	2.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	5.9	22.2
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	52.9	53.6

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.

Chart
PTH-1

Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical






Chart
PTH-2

Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical




Graph
PTH-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical




Note:
• Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
PTH-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
PTH-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
PTH-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and ClinicalChart
PTH-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical



Chart
PTH-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and ClinicalChart
PTH-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical





Chart
PTH-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and ClinicalChart
PTH-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

Chart
PTH-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical**Chart**
PTH-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Pathology-Anatomic and Clinical

PD Pediatrics

Table
PD-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics

Measure	Matched (n=146)	Not Matched (n=26)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	14.0	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	236	224
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	0.0	2.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	1.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	3.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	2.0	4.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	18.4	30.0

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.





Chart
PD-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Pediatrics


Chart
PD-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
PediatricsGraph
PD-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Pediatrics

Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
PD-3
USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
PD-2
Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Pediatrics

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
PD-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
PediatricsChart
PD-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics



Chart
PD-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
PediatricsChart
PD-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics

Chart
PD-8

Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics




Chart
PD-9

Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Pediatrics






Chart
PD-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Pediatrics**Chart**
PD-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Pediatrics

PM

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Table
PM-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Measure	Matched (n=10)	Not Matched (n=15)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	4.5	1.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.5	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	236	234
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	3.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	2.0	1.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	1.0	3.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	0.5	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	2.5	2.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	5.0	4.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	44.4	26.7

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.



Chart
PM-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation


Chart
PM-2

Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation




Graph
PM-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation




Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
PM-3**USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**Note:**


USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
PM-2**Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**Note:**

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

**Chart
PM-4**

**Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**



**Chart
PM-5**

**Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**






Chart
PM-6
Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Chart
PM-7
Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation






Chart
PM-8**Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**Chart**
PM-9**Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

Chart
PM-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation**Chart**
PM-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation

PS Plastic Surgery (Integrated)

Data visualizations for Plastic Surgery (Integrated) are excluded from the report because both the Matched and Not Matched cohorts did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

P Psychiatry

Table
P-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Psychiatry

Measure	Matched (n=139)	Not Matched (n=75)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	235	229
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	2.0	2.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	0.0	0.5
6. Median number of presentations ¶	1.0	1.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	1.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	35.3	38.1

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.





Chart
P-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Psychiatry

Chart
P-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
PsychiatryGraph
P-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Psychiatry


Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
P-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Psychiatry

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
P-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Psychiatry

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
P-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
PsychiatryChart
P-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Psychiatry



Chart
P-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
PsychiatryChart
P-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Psychiatry





Chart
P-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
PsychiatryChart
P-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Psychiatry

Chart
P-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Psychiatry**Chart**
P-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Psychiatry

PH Public Health and Preventive Medicine

Data visualizations for Public Health and Preventive Medicine are excluded from the report because both the Matched and Not Matched cohorts did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

RO Radiation Oncology

Data visualizations for Radiation Oncology are excluded from the report because both the Matched and Not Matched cohorts did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

DR **Radiology-Diagnostic**

Table
DR-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic

Measure	Matched (n=25)	Not Matched (n=21)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	6.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	2.0	2.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	247	233
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	4.0	3.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	1.5
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	2.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.0	1.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	3.0	3.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	40.9	26.3

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.



Chart
DR-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Radiology-Diagnostic


Chart
DR-2

Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic




Graph
DR-1

Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Radiology-Diagnostic




Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
DR-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.


Graph
DR-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Radiology-Diagnostic

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

**Chart
DR-4**

**Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic**



**Chart
DR-5**

**Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic**




Chart
DR-6

Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic




Chart
DR-7

Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic




Chart
DR-8

Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic




Chart
DR-9

Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Radiology-Diagnostic




Chart
DR-10

Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree
Radiology-Diagnostic





Chart
DR-11

Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree
Radiology-Diagnostic



GS **Surgery-General**

Table
GS-1
Summary Statistics of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-General

Measure	Matched (n=70)	Not Matched (n=147)
1. Median number of contiguous ranks	3.0	2.0
2. Median number of distinct specialties ranked	1.0	1.0
3. Median USMLE Step 2 CK score ‡	244	237
4. Median number of research experiences ¶	3.0	4.0
5. Median number of abstracts ¶	1.0	2.0
6. Median number of presentations ¶	2.0	3.0
7. Median number of publications ¶	2.0	2.0
8. Median number of work experiences ¶	2.0	3.0
9. Median number of volunteer experiences ¶	4.0	4.0
10. Percentage who have a Ph.D. degree ¶	0.0	0.0
11. Percentage who have another graduate degree ¶	24.6	25.9

Note:

‡ USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

¶ Data self-reported by applicants during the Main Residency Match registration process.





Chart
GS-1**Number of Distinct Specialties Ranked by U.S. IMGs**
Surgery-General

Chart
GS-2Number of Contiguous Ranks of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-GeneralGraph
GS-1Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by Number of
Contiguous Ranks
Surgery-General


Note:

Probability analyses are based on Contiguous Ranks data of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.

Chart
GS-3USMLE Step 2 CK Scores of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-General

Note:

USMLE Step 2 CK scores are primary source through direct partnership with the USMLE program and its sponsor organizations.

Graph
GS-2Probability of U.S. IMGs Matching to Preferred Specialty by USMLE Step 2 CK Score
Surgery-General

Note:

Probability analyses are based on USMLE Step 2 CK scores of those who participated in the Match in 2024, 2025, and 2026, and consented to use their data for research and reporting purposes.



Chart
GS-4Number of Research Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-GeneralChart
GS-5Number of Work Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-General



Chart
GS-6Number of Volunteer Experiences of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-GeneralChart
GS-7Number of Abstracts of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-General





Chart
GS-8Number of Presentations of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-GeneralChart
GS-9Number of Publications of U.S. IMGs
Surgery-General

Chart
GS-10**Number of U.S. IMGs with Ph.D. degree**
Surgery-General**Chart**
GS-11**Number of U.S. IMGs with Other Graduate Degree**
Surgery-General

TS Thoracic Surgery (Integrated)

Data visualizations for Thoracic Surgery (Integrated) are excluded from the report because both the Matched and Not Matched cohorts did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

VS Vascular Surgery (Integrated)

Data visualizations for Vascular Surgery (Integrated) are excluded from the report because both the Matched and Not Matched cohorts did not meet the minimum reporting threshold of five consented U.S. IMGs.

Stay informed and engaged with the NRMP.

If you have questions or feedback, please contact us at admin@nrmp.org.

For data inquiries, please contact datarequest@nrmp.org.

Visit our website and follow our social media for the latest data, updates, and resources related to the transition to residency.



www.nrmp.org



@TheNRMP



TheNRMP



National Resident Matching Program



National Resident Matching Program